


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants received the Final Office Action dated October 13, 2006, in which the Examiner rejected claims 1, 5-7, 11-14 and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Suorsa (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0226010, hereinafter "Suorsa"). Applicants conducted an interview with the Examiner on November 3, 2006 in which the Examiner alleged that the limitation "(b)" of claim 1 was unclear as to exactly what was being identified. The Examiner suggested that Applicants clarify that limitation and, once clarified, that claim 1 would likely be allowable over the art of record. Accordingly, Applicants amend claim 1 to provide the clarification requested by the Examiner. Similar amendments have been made to claims 7 and 14 as well. Applicants believe claim 23 is patentable for reasons previously explained and does not contain language that might be confusing to the Examiner. Based on the amendments and arguments contained herein, Applicants believe all claims are in condition for allowance.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. It is believed that no extensions of time or fees are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,


Jonathan M. Harris
PTO Reg. No. 44,144
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
Legal Dept., M/S 35
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400